Local AO 472 (Rev. 5/19)

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA

United States of America,	
Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
vs.	) Case No. 3:24-cr-36
Cornelius Johnson,	
Defendant.	)
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. $\S$ of the defendant.	3142(f), I conclude that the following facts require the detention
✓ Alternative A – The Court finds:	AND CONCLUSIONS
(1) (a) There is probable cause to believe that defer	ndant has committed an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3),
	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), and has been convicted of an offense
	ence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on
that basis, <b>or</b> (b) Defendant has presented evidence sufficien and the other factors discussed below, deten	at to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption ition is warranted.
Alternative B – The Court finds one or more of the follow	ving:
	f the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure defendant's appearance.  [2] The Government has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably ensure the safety of other persons or the community.	
$\square$ (3) The Government has proved by a preponderance	e of the evidence that there is a serious risk that defendant will, injure, or intimidate, or attempt to threaten, injure, or intimidate,
Alternative C – The Court finds one of the following:	
(1) Defendant does not contest detention at this time.	
(3) Defendant is not engine for release at this time.	itial reentry placement is available.

## PART II - WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

The court held a hearing on the United States' motion for detention of Cornelius Johnson pending trial on March 15, 2024. The United States proffered evidence of Johnson's involvement in the charged offenses and emphasized evidence in the Pretrial Services Report of Johnson's repeated failures to follow court-ordered conditions. Additionally, the United States asserted the charged offenses were committed while Johnson was serving a term of state probation. Johnson requested release to reside with family in Michigan or, alternatively, to a residential reentry center when funding for that placement becomes available. Given the evidence in the Pretrial Services Report and the evidence proffered at the hearing, the court finds Johnson has not rebutted the 18 U.S.C. § 3142 presumption that applies because of the nature of the charges against him.

## PART III - DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

Defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. Defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with court proceedings.

Date: March 15, 2024 /s/ Alice R. Senechal
United States Magistrate Judge